# **Industry Day Example (SB)**

- 1. Phase 1
  - 1.1. Factor 1
    - 1.1.1. Vendor A inputs <u>"Yes"</u> for Phase 1, Factor 1 Facility Clearance. This produces a "Pass" result for Factor 1.

F	actor 1	
Facility Clearance (Yes or No)	Yes	
Factor 1 Result	Pass	

- 1.2. Factor 2
  - 1.2.1. Vendor A was able to successfully map <u>110</u> LCATs as supported by "Attachment 5 P1:F2 LCAT ID Crosswalk." Vendor A annotated <u>"110"</u> for Factor 2. This produces a "High Confidence" result for Factor 2.

	Factor 2
LCAT Crosswalk	110 of 123 LCATS
Factor 2 Result	High Confidence

- 1.3. **Phase 1 Res**ult: Vendor A will receive a "High Confidence" notice, advising them to continue to Phase 2.
- 2. Phase 2
  - 2.1. Workplace Solutions / Services
    - 2.1.1. Example 1
      - 2.1.1.1. **100%** of that example was related to services in the **Connectivity** sub-category.
    - 2.1.2. Example 2
      - 2.1.2.1. <u>50%</u> of that example was related to services in the **Communications &** Collaboration sub-category.
      - 2.1.2.2. 50% of that example was related to the Audio/Video/Image sub-category.
    - 2.1.3. Example 3
      - 2.1.3.1. <u>25%</u> of that example was related to services in the Communication & Collaboration sub-category
      - 2.1.3.2. <u>25%</u> of that example was related to services in the **Audio/Video/Image** category.
      - 2.1.3.3. <u>50%</u> of that example was related to services in the **Support** category.
    - 2.1.4. Workplace Solutions/ Services Result Vendor A will receive a "High Confidence" because this example showed that they've performed at least 60% of the sub-categories "Connectivity," "Communication & Collaboration," and "Audio/ Video/ Image." Vendor A will receive a "Medium Confidence" in the sub-category "Support" for self-certifying 50%. Overall, Vendor A will receive a "High Confidence" for Workplace Solutions because 3 of the 4 sub-categories are "High Confidence" and the 4th sub-category is a "Medium Confidence".

		Phase 2: Self-Certifica	tion			
	Connectivity	Communication & Collaboration	Audio/ Video/ Image	Support	Other	
Example 1	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Example 2	0%	50%	50%	0%	0%	100%
Example 3	0%	25%	25%	50%	0%	100%
	100%	75%	75%	50%		

High Confidence	3
Medium Confidence	1
Love Confidence	0
C TITLE TO THE TOTAL THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TOTAL TO THE	- 33
Final Rating	High Confidence

Confidence Summary

- 2.2. Business Application Solutions / Services
  - 2.2.1. Example 1
    - 2.2.1.1. <u>50%</u> of that example was related to services in the **Product Management** subcategory.
    - 2.2.1.2. <u>50%</u> of that example was related to services in the Customer Service subcategory.
  - 2.2.2. Example 2
    - 2.2.2.1. <u>50%</u> of that example was related to services in the **Customer Service** subcategory.
    - 2.2.2.2. <u>40%</u> of that example was related to the **Sales & Marketing** sub-category.
    - 2.2.2.3. <u>10%</u> of example 2 does not pertain to Product Management, Customer Service, or Sales & Marketing, so the Vendor assigns the remaining **10%** to **Other.**
  - 2.2.3. Example 3
    - 2.2.3.1. <u>30%</u> of that example was related to services in the **Product Management** subcategory
    - 2.2.3.2. <u>70%</u> of that example was related to services in the **Sales & Marketing** category.
  - 2.2.4. **Business Application Solutions/ Services Result** Vendor A will receive a "High Confidence" because this example showed that they've performed at least 60% of the subcategories "Product Management," "Customer Service," and "Sales & Marketing." Additionally, Vendor A will receive a "High Confidence" for Business Applications Solutions because all sub-categories meet the 60%.

		Phase 2, Factor 3:	Self-Certification		
	<b>Product Management</b>	Customer Service	Sales & Marketing	Other	
Example 1	50%	50%	0%	0%	100%
Example 2	0%	50%	40%	10%	100%
Example 3	30%	0%	70%	0%	100%
	80%	100%	110%		
	Confidence Sur	mmary			
	High Confidence	3			
	Medium Confidence	0			
	Low Confidence	0			
	Final Rating		High Confidence		

- 2.3. Delivery Solutions / Services
  - 2.3.1. Example 1
    - 2.3.1.1. <u>60%</u> of that example was related to services in the **Operations** sub-category.
    - 2.3.1.2. <u>20%</u> of that example was related to services in the IT Security sub-category.
    - 2.3.1.3. <u>20%</u> of that example was related to services in the **Strategy & Planning** subcategory.

- 2.3.2. Example 2
  - 2.3.2.1. **20%** of that example was related to services in the **Development** sub-category.
  - 2.3.2.2. <u>30%</u> of that example was related to the **Strategy & Planning** sub-category.
  - 2.3.2.3. <u>50%</u> of that example was related to the **IT Security** sub-category.
- 2.3.3. Example 3
  - 2.3.3.1. <u>30%</u> of that example was related to services in the **Development** sub-category.
  - 2.3.3.2. <u>30%</u> of that example was related to services in the **Operations** category.
  - 2.3.3.3. 40% of that example was related to services in the IT Security category
- 2.3.4. **Delivery Solutions/ Services Result** Vendor A will receive a "Medium Confidence" because this example showed that they've performed at least 65% of the sub-categories "Operations" and "IT Security." Vendor A will receive a "Medium Confidence" in the sub-categories "Development" and "Strategy & Planning" for self-certifying between 35% and 59%. Overall, Vendor A will receive a "Medium Confidence" for Delivery Solutions because 2 of the 4 sub-categories are "Medium Confidence" but no sub-categories received a "Low Confidence"

a Lo	ow Confidence.					
		Phase	2: Self-Certification			
	Development	Operations	IT Security	Strategy & Planning	Other	
Example 1	0%	60%	20%	20%	0%	100%
Example 2	20%	0%	50%	30%	0%	100%
Example 3	30%	30%	40%	0%	0%	100%
	50%	90%	110%	50%		
	Confidence Sum	nmary				
	High Confidence	2				
	Medium Confidence	2				
	Low Confidence	0				
	Final Rating		Medium Confidence			

- 2.4. Platform Solutions / Services
  - 2.4.1. Example 1
    - 2.4.1.1. <u>50%</u> of that example was related to services in the **Application Services** subcategory.
    - 2.4.1.2. <u>50%</u> of that example was related to services in the **Cloud Services** subcategory.
  - 2.4.2. Example 2
    - 2.4.2.1. **60%** of that example was related to services in the **Data Services** sub-category.
    - 2.4.2.2. **40%** of that example was related to the **Cloud Services** sub-category.
  - 2.4.3. Example 3
    - 2.4.3.1. <u>30%</u> of that example was related to services in the **Application Services** subcategory
    - 2.4.3.2. <u>70%</u> of that example was related to services in the **Data Services** category.
  - 2.4.4. Platform Solutions/ Services Result Vendor A will receive a "High Confidence" because this example showed that they've performed at least 60% of the sub-categories "Application Services," "Data Services," and "Cloud Services." Additionally, Vendor A will receive a "High Confidence" for Platform Solutions / Services because all sub-categories meet the 60%.

		Phase 2: Self-C	ertification		
	Application Services	Data Services	Cloud Services	Other	
Example 1	50%	0%	50%	0%	100%
Example 2	0%	60%	40%	0%	100%
Example 3	30%	70%	0%	0%	100%
	80%	130%	90%		
	Confidence Sum	mary			
	High Confidence	3			
	Medium Confidence	0			
	Law Confidence	0			
	Final Rating		High Confidence		

- 2.5. Infrastructure Solutions / Services
  - 2.5.1. Example 1
    - 2.5.1.1. 40% of that example was related to services in the Network sub-category.
    - 2.5.1.2. <u>35%</u> of that example was related to services in the **Data Center** sub-category.
    - 2.5.1.3. <u>25%</u> of that example was related to services in the **Compute Services** subcategory.
  - 2.5.2. Example 2
    - 2.5.2.1. 50% of that example was related to services in the **Data Center** sub-category.
    - 2.5.2.2. **50%** of that example was related to the **Storage** sub-category.
  - 2.5.3. Example 3
    - 2.5.3.1. **35%** of that example was related to services in the **Network** sub-category
    - 2.5.3.2. <u>60%</u> of that example was related to services in the **Compute Services** category.
    - 2.5.3.1. <u>5%</u> of example 3 does not pertain to the required sub-categories, so the Vendor A assigns the remaining **5%** to **Other.**
  - 2.5.4. **Infrastructure Solutions/ Services Result** Vendor A will receive a "High Confidence" because this example showed that they've performed at least 60% of the sub-categories "Network", "Data Center", and "Compute Services". Vendor A will receive a "Medium Confidence" in the sub-category "Storage Services" for self-certifying 50%. Overall, Vendor A will receive a "High Confidence" for Infrastructure Solutions because 3 of the 4 sub-categories are "High Confidence" and the 4<sup>th</sup> sub-category is a "Medium Confidence".

		Phase 2: Se	elf-Certification			
	Network	Data Center	Storage Services	Compute Services	Other	
Example 1	40%	35%	0%	25%	0%	1009
Example 2	0%	50%	50%	0%	0%	100%
Example 3	35%	0%	0%	60%	5%	100%
	75%	85%	50%	85%		
	Confidence Sum	mary				
	High Confidence	3				
	Medium Confidence	1				
	Law Confidence	0				
	Final Rating	Hi	gh Confidence			

- 2.6. Emerging Technologies
  - 2.6.1. Choice 1 Selection
    - 2.6.1.1. Vendor A selects **Scientific IT Services** under "Choice 1."
  - 2.6.2. Example 1 (Only 1 example is allowed for Emerging Technologies)
    - 2.6.2.1. <u>100%</u> of that example was related to services in the **Scientific IT Services** subcategory.
  - 2.6.3. **Emerging Technologies Result** Vendor A will receive a "High Confidence" because this example showed that they've performed at least 60% of the selected sub-category,

Scientific IT Services. Vendor A will receive a "High Confidence" for Emerging Technologies because the sub-category meets the 60%.

	Final Rating	High Confidence	
	100%		
Example 1	100%	0%	100%
	Scientific IT Services	Other	
	Choice 1		
	Pha	se 2: Self-Certification	

- 2.7. Other IT
  - 2.7.1. Choice 2 Selection
    - 2.7.1.1. Vendor A selects **Geospatial Analysis** under "Choice 2."
  - 2.7.2. Example 1
    - 2.7.2.1. <u>100%</u> of that example was related to services in the **Malware Analysis** subcategory.
  - 2.7.3. Example 2
    - 2.7.3.1. <u>100%</u> of that example was related to services in the **Human Language Technology** sub-category.
  - 2.7.4. Example 3
    - 2.7.4.1. <u>100%</u> of that example was related to services in the **Geospatial Analysis** subcategory
  - 2.7.5. Other IT Result Vendor A will receive a "High Confidence" because this example showed that they've performed at least 60% of the sub-categories "Malware Analysis," "Human Language Technology," and "Geospatial Analysis." Additionally, Vendor A will receive a "High Confidence" for Other IT because all sub-categories meet the 60%.

		Phase 2: Self-Certific	cation		
			Choice 2		
	Malware Analysis	Human Language Technology	Geospatial Analysis	Other	
Example 1	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Example 2	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%
Example 3	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%
	100%	100%	100%		
	Confidence	Summary			
	High Confidence	3			
	Medium Confidence	0			
	Low Confidence	0			
	Final Rating		High Confidence		

- 2.8. Phase 2, Factor 3: Self-Certification Result
  - 2.8.1. No vendor manipulation of the Scoring Table is required for the Factor 3 Result. The cover sheet of the Scoring Table document will carry over the confidence rating by task.
  - 2.8.2. **Phase 2, Factor 3 Result**: In the scenario listed above, Vendor A will receive a "High Confidence" rating for Factor 3 because they received a "High Confidence" in six of the seven categories with no Low Confidence Ratings. Vendor A will receive a "High Confidence" notice, advising them to continue to Phase 3.

		P	hase 2, Factor 3: Self-Certification			
Ca	itegory	Self-Certification Score				Confidence Rating
	Workplace Solutions	Connectivity	Communications & Colaboration	Audio/ Video/ Image	Support	
1	workplace solutions	100%	75%	75%	50%	High Confidence
2	Dusiness Applications	Product Management	Customer Service	Sales & Marketing		
2	Business Applications	80%	100%	110%		High Confidence
2	Delivery Solutions	Development	Operations	IT Security	Strategy & Planning	
3	Delivery Solutions	50%	90%	110%	50%	Medium Confidence
	Platform Solutions	Application Services	Data Services	Cloud Services		
•	Platform Solutions	80%	130%	90%		High Confidence
-	Infrastructure	Network	Data Center	Storage Services	Compute Services	
3	inirastructure	75%	85%	50%	85%	High Confidence
,	Emerging Technologies	Choice 1				
6	Emerging Technologies	100%				High Confidence
-	Other IT	Malware Analysis	Human Language Technology	Choice 2		
,	OtnerII	100%	100%	100%		High Confidence
·						

Col	nfidence Summary	
High Confidence		6
Medium Confidence		1
Low Confidence		0
Factor 3 Result	High Confidence	

- 2.9. Effects of "Low Confidence" in one Sub-Category (Example Workplace Solutions)
  - 2.9.1. Example 1
    - 2.9.1.1. **100%** of that example was related to services in the **Connectivity** sub-category.
  - 2.9.2. Example 2
    - 2.9.2.1. <u>50%</u> of that example was related to services in the **Communications &** Collaboration sub-category.
    - 2.9.2.2. <u>50%</u> of that example was related to the **Audio/Video/Image** sub-category.
  - 2.9.3. Example 3
    - 2.9.3.1. <u>25%</u> of that example was related to services in the Communication & Collaboration sub-category
    - 2.9.3.2. <u>25%</u> of that example was related to services in the **Audio/Video/Image** category.
    - 2.9.3.3. <u>25%</u> of that example was related to services in the **Support** sub-category.
    - 2.9.3.4. <u>25%</u> of example 3 does not pertain to the required sub-categories, so the Vendor A assigns the remaining 25% to Other.
  - 2.9.4. Workplace Solutions/ Services Result Vendor A will receive a "High Confidence" because this example showed that they've performed at least 60% of the sub-categories "Connectivity," "Communication & Collaboration," and "Audio/ Video/ Image." Vendor A will receive a "Low Confidence" in the sub-category "Support" for self-certifying 25%. Overall, Vendor A will receive a "Low Confidence" for Workplace Solutions because 3 of the 4 sub-categories are "High Confidence" and the 4th sub-category is a "Low Confidence".

		Phase 2: Self-Certifica	tion			
	Connectivity	Communication & Collaboration	Audio/ Video/ Image	Support	Other	
Example 1	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	10
xample 2	0%	50%	50%	0%	0%	10
Example 3	0%	25%	25%	25%	25%	10
	100%	75%	75%	25%		
	High Confidence	ce Summary				
	Grand Control of the	ce Summary 3				
	High Confidence	28 Summary 3 0 1				

2.9.5. **Phase 2, Factor 3 Result:** In the scenario listed above, Vendor A will receive a "Low Confidence" rating for Factor 3 because they received a "High Confidence" in six of the

seven categories with <u>ONE</u> Low Confidence Rating. Vendor A will receive a "Low Confidence" notice, advising them to not continue to Phase 3.

	Phase 2, Factor 3: Self-Certification						
Ca	ategory	Self-Certification Score				Confidence Rating	
	Workplace Solutions	Connectivity	Communications & Colaboration	Audio/ Video/ Image	Support		
1	workplace solutions	100%	75%	75%	25%	Low Confidence	
2	Business Applications	Product Management	Customer Service	Sales & Marketing			
2	business Applications	80%	100%	110%		High Confidence	
3	Delivery Solutions	Development	Operations	IT Security	Strategy & Planning		
3	Delivery Solutions	50%	90%	110%	50%	Medium Confidence	
4	Platform Solutions	Application Services	Data Services	Cloud Services			
4		80%	130%	90%		High Confidence	
-	Infrastructure	Network	Data Center	Storage Services	Compute Services		
3	inirastructure	75%	85%	50%	85%	High Confidence	
6	Emerging Technologies	Choice 1					
0	Emerging rechnologies	100%				High Confidence	
7	Other IT	Malware Analysis	Human Language Technology	Choice 2			
,	Other II	100%	100%	100%		High Confidence	

Co	nfidence Summary
High Confidence	5
Medium Confidence	1
Low Confidence	1
actor 3 Result	Low Confidence

#### 3. Phase 3

- 3.1. Workplace Solutions / Services
  - 3.1.1. Example 1
    - 3.1.1.1. Element 1 Vendor A's labor value **exceeded \$10M** and self-certifies **30** points.
    - 3.1.1.2. Element 2 Vendor A received an **Exceptional** Technical CPARs rating and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
    - 3.1.1.3. Element 3 Vendor A supported **3 FBI specific locations** and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
    - 3.1.1.4. Element 4 Vendor A required up to **TS/SCI with CI Poly** work and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
  - 3.1.2. Example 2
    - 3.1.2.1. Element 1 Vendor A's labor value **exceeded \$10M** and self-certifies **30** points.
    - 3.1.2.2. Element 2 Vendor A received an **Exceptional** Technical CPARs rating and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
    - 3.1.2.3. Element 3 Vendor A supported **2 FBI specific locations** and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
    - 3.1.2.4. Element 4 Vendor A required up to **TS/SCI with CI Poly** work and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
  - 3.1.3. Example 3
    - 3.1.3.1. Element 1 Vendor A's labor value **exceeded \$10M** and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
    - 3.1.3.2. Element 2 Vendor A received an **Exceptional** Technical CPARs rating and self-certifies **30** points.
    - 3.1.3.3. Element 3 Vendor A supported **2 FBI specific locations** and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
    - 3.1.3.4. Element 4 Vendor A required up to **TS/SCI with CI Poly** work and self-certifies **30** points.
  - 3.1.4. Element 5 (Cumulative)
    - 3.1.4.1. Vendor A's **three** examples were contracted to LE/IC customers and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.

# 3.1.5. **Workplace Solutions/ Services Result** - Vendor A will receive a "High Confidence" because the Cumulative Element Score exceeds 340 and they received no counts of "10."

		Phase 3: Corporate	Experience Elements		
				Example	
		Possible Points	1	2	3
Element 1	\$1M - <\$5M	10			
Carataria et Maliña	\$5M - < \$10M	20			
Contract Value	\$10M+	30	30	30	30
	-	Element 1 Score		90	
Element 2	Marginal - Unsatisfactory	10			
Technical CPARS	Satisfactory - Very Good	20			
Technical CPARS	Exceptional	30	30	30	30
		Element 2 Score		90	
Element 3	1 Location, no FBI specific	10			
Staffed Location	1 location, FBI specific OR > 1 locations, not FBI specific	20			
	> 1 locations, FBI specific	30	30	30	30
		Element 3 Score		90	
Element 4	UNCLASS/Secret	10			
at two t	TS	20			
Cleared Work	TS/SCI with CI Poly	30	30	30	30
		Element 4 Score	,	90	
				Cumulative	
Element 5	0	10			
I E /I G B	= 1	20			
LE/IC Requirement	>= 2	30		30	
		Element 5 Score		30	
	e e	Category Element Score		390	
		Count of 10s		0	
		Final Rating		High Confidence	

## 3.2. Business Application Solutions / Services

- 3.2.1. Example 1
  - 3.2.1.1. Element 1 Vendor A's labor value was \$5M and self-certifies 20 points.
  - 3.2.1.2. Element 2 Vendor A received a **Satisfactory** Technical CPARs rating and self-certifies **20** points.
  - 3.2.1.3. Element 3 Vendor A supported **1 FBI specific location** and self-certifies **20** points.
  - 3.2.1.4. Element 4 Vendor A required up to **TS** work and self-certifies **20** points.
- 3.2.2. Example 2
  - 3.2.2.1. Element 1 Vendor A's labor value was **\$6M** and self-certifies **20** points.
  - 3.2.2.2. Element 2 Vendor A received a **Very Good** Technical CPARs rating and self-certifies **20** points.
  - 3.2.2.3. Element 3 Vendor A supported **2 FBI specific locations** and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
  - 3.2.2.4. Element 4 Vendor A required up to **TS** work and self-certifies **20** points.
- 3.2.3. Example 3
  - 3.2.3.1. Element 1 Vendor A's labor value was \$7M and self-certifies 20 points.
  - 3.2.3.2. Element 2 Vendor A received a **Satisfactory** Technical CPARs rating and self-certifies **20** points.
  - 3.2.3.3. Element 3 Vendor A supported **2 locations not FBI specific** and self-certifies **20** points.
  - 3.2.3.4. Element 4 Vendor A required up to **TS** work and self-certifies **20** points.
- 3.2.4. Element 5 (Cumulative)
  - 3.2.4.1. Vendor A's **three** examples were contracted to LE/IC customers and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.

3.2.5. **Business Application Solutions/ Services Result** - Vendor A will receive a "Medium Confidence" because the Cumulative Element Score is between 260 and 339 and they received no counts of "10."

	3	Possible Points	40	Example	
		Possible Points	1	2	3
Element 1	\$1M - <\$5M	10		9	
Contract Value	\$5M - < \$10M	20	20	20	2
Contract value	\$10M+	30	3		
		Element 1 Score	.,	60	
Element 2	Marginal - Unsatisfactory	10			
Technical CPARS	Satisfactory - Very Good	20	20	20	2
Technical CPARS	Exceptional	30	8		
		Element 2 Score		60	
Element 3	1 Location, no FBI specific	10		3	
	1 location, FBI specific OR > 1				
Staffed Location	locations, not FBI specific	20	20		2
	locations, not FBI specific   20   20				
		Element 3 Score	**	70	
Element 4	UNCLASS/Secret	10			
15.4 610.7 17.04 S. 15.00 Fee	TS	20	20	20	2
Cleared Work	TS/SCI with CI Poly	30			
		Element 4 Score		60	
	k 9			Cumulative	
Element 5	0	10			
A DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY	= 1	20			
LE/IC Requirement	>= 2	30		30	
		Element 5 Score		30	
	Cat	egory Element Score		280	
		Count of 10s		0	
		Final Rating	Λ.	Medium Confidence	

## 3.3. Delivery Solutions / Services

- 3.3.1. Example 1
  - 3.3.1.1. Element 1 Vendor A's labor value **exceeded \$10M** and self-certifies **30** points.
  - 3.3.1.2. Element 2 Vendor A received an **Exceptional** Technical CPARs rating and self-certifies **30** points.
  - 3.3.1.3. Element 3 Vendor A supported **all FBI specific locations** and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
  - 3.3.1.4. Element 4 Vendor A required up to **TS/SCI with CI Poly** work and self-certifies **30** points.
- 3.3.2. Example 2
  - 3.3.2.1. Element 1 Vendor A's labor value was \$7M and self-certifies 20 points.
  - 3.3.2.2. Element 2 Vendor A received a **Very Good** Technical CPARs rating and self-certifies **20** points.
  - 3.3.2.3. Element 3 Vendor A supported **1 FBI specific location** and self-certifies **20** points.
  - 3.3.2.4. Element 4 Vendor A required up to **TS/SCI with CI Poly** work and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
- 3.3.3. Example 3
  - 3.3.3.1. Element 1 Vendor A's labor value **exceeded \$10M** and self-certifies **30** points.

- 3.3.3.2. Element 2 Vendor A received an **Exceptional** Technical CPARs rating and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
- 3.3.3.3. Element 3 Vendor A supported **3 locations not FBI specific** and self-certifies **20** points.
- 3.3.3.4. Element 4 Vendor A required up to **TS/SCI with CI Poly** work and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
- 3.3.4. Element 5 (Cumulative)
  - 3.3.4.1. Vendor A's three examples were **NOT** contracted to LE/IC customers and self-certifies **10** points.
- 3.3.5. **Delivery Solutions/ Services Result** Vendor A will receive a "Medium Confidence" because the Cumulative Element Score is between 260 and 339 and they received one count of "10."

Phase 3: Corporate Experience Elements

		Describbe Describe	E	xample	
	9	Possible Points	1	2	3
Element 1	\$1M - <\$5M	10		8	
C111/-	\$5M - < \$10M	20	3	20	
Contract Value	\$10M+	30	30		30
		Element 1 Score		80	
Element 2	Marginal - Unsatisfactory	10	3	8	
Taskeisel CDADC	Satisfactory - Very Good	20		20	
Technical CPARS	Exceptional	30	30		30
		Element 2 Score		80	
Element 3	1 Location, no FBI specific	10	1	2	
	1 location, FBI specific OR > 1	VS-0	5.	*	
Staffed Location	locations, not FBI specific	20	20	20	20
	> 1 locations, FBI specific	30	30		
		Element 3 Score		70	
Element 4	UNCLASS/Secret	10			
(2-17) (1-17) (1-17)	TS	20	9		
Cleared Work	TS/SCI with CI Poly	30	30	30	30
		Element 4 Score	,	90	
			Cu	mulative	
Element 5	0	10		10	
1 F / 1 C D	= 1	20			
LE/IC Requirement	>= 2	30			
		Element 5 Score		10	

330
1

Final Rating	Medium Confidence
--------------	-------------------

- 3.4. Platform Solutions / Services
  - 3.4.1. Example 1
    - 3.4.1.1. Element 1 Vendor A's labor value was **\$8M** and self-certifies **20** points.
    - 3.4.1.2. Element 2 Vendor A received a **NO** Technical CPARs rating and self-certifies **20** points.
    - 3.4.1.3. Element 3 Vendor A supported **1 FBI specific location** and self-certifies **20** points.
    - 3.4.1.4. Element 4 Vendor A required up to **TS** work and self-certifies **20** points.
  - 3.4.2. Example 2
    - 3.4.2.1. Element 1 Vendor A's labor value **exceeds \$10M** and self-certifies **30** points.
    - 3.4.2.2. Element 2 Vendor A received a **Very Good** Technical CPARs rating and self-certifies **20** points.

- 3.4.2.3. Element 3 Vendor A supported **2 FBI specific locations** and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
- 3.4.2.4. Element 4 Vendor A required up to **TS** work and self-certifies **20** points.
- 3.4.3. Example 3
  - 3.4.3.1. Element 1 Vendor A's labor value **exceeded \$10M** and self-certifies **30** points.
  - 3.4.3.2. Element 2 Vendor A received an **Exceptional** Technical CPARs rating and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
  - 3.4.3.3. Element 3 Vendor A supported **2 locations not FBI specific** and self-certifies **20** points.
  - 3.4.3.4. Element 4 Vendor A required up to **TS** work and self-certifies **20** points.
- 3.4.4. Element 5 (Cumulative)
  - 3.4.4.1. Vendor A's **one** of three examples were contracted to LE/IC customers and self-certifies **20** points.
- 3.4.5. **Platform Solutions/ Services Result** Vendor A will receive a "Medium Confidence" because the Cumulative Element Score is between 260 and 339 and they received no counts of "10."

	Ph	ase 3: Corporate Experience E	lements		
				Example	
		Possible Points	1	2	3
Element 1	\$1M - <\$5M	10			
	\$5M - < \$10M	20	20		
Contract Value	\$10M+	30		30	3
		Element 1 Score	***	80	
Element 2	Marginal - Unsatisfactory	10	3	8	
+ 1 - Lenine	Satisfactory - Very Good	20	20	20	
Technical CPARS	Exceptional	30			3(
	*	Element 2 Score	***	70	
Element 3	1 Location, no FBI specific	10			
	1 location, FBI specific OR > 1			*	
Staffed Location	locations, not FBI specific	20	20	30	20
	> 1 locations, FBI specific	30		30	
		Element 3 Score	27.5	70	
Element 4	UNCLASS/Secret	10			
Company of the second	TS	20	20	20	20
Cleared Work	TS/SCI with CI Poly	30	0.088		
		Element 4 Score	<u></u>	60	
			С	umulative	
Element 5	0	10			
	= 1	20		20	
LE/IC Requirement	>= 2	30			
		Element 5 Score	Ÿ	20	
	Ca	tegory Element Score		300	
		Count of 10s		0	
		Final Rating	Me	edium Confidence	

- 3.5. Infrastructure Solutions / Services
  - 3.5.1. Example 1
    - 3.5.1.1. Element 1 Vendor A's labor value **exceeded \$10M** and self-certifies **30** points.
    - 3.5.1.2. Element 2 Vendor A received an **Exceptional** Technical CPARs rating and self-certifies **30** points.

- 3.5.1.3. Element 3 Vendor A supported **1 location not FBI specific** and self-certifies **10** points.
- 3.5.1.4. Element 4 Vendor A required up to **TS/SCI with CI Poly** work and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
- 3.5.2. Example 2
  - 3.5.2.1. Element 1 Vendor A's labor value **exceeded \$10M** and self-certifies **30** points.
  - 3.5.2.2. Element 2 Vendor A received an **Exceptional** Technical CPARs rating and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
  - 3.5.2.3. Element 3 Vendor A supported **1 FBI specific location** and self-certifies **20** points.
  - 3.5.2.4. Element 4 Vendor A required up to **TS/SCI with CI Poly** work and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
- 3.5.3. Example 3
  - 3.5.3.1. Element 1 Vendor A's labor value **exceeded \$10M** and self-certifies **30** points.
  - 3.5.3.2. Element 2 Vendor A received an **Exceptional** Technical CPARs rating and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
  - 3.5.3.3. Element 3 Vendor A supported **2 FBI specific locations** and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
  - 3.5.3.4. Element 4 Vendor A required up to **TS** work and self-certifies **20** points.
- 3.5.4. Element 5 (Cumulative)
  - 3.5.4.1. Vendor A's **three** examples were contracted to LE/IC customers and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
- 3.5.5. **Infrastructure Solutions/ Services Result** Vendor A will receive a "Medium Confidence" because even though the Cumulative Element Score is 350, the vendor received one count of "10"

		Phase 3: Corporate E	experience Elements		
				Example	
		Possible Points	1	2	3
Element 1	\$1M - <\$5M	10			
0	\$5M - < \$10M	20	18	*	
Contract Value	\$10M+	30	30	30	3
		Element 1 Score		90	
Element 2	Marginal - Unsatisfactory	10			
Table to Long Do	Satisfactory - Very Good	20	18		
Technical CPARS	Exceptional	30	30	30	- 3
		Element 2 Score	**	90	
Element 3	1 Location, no FBI specific	10	10		
Staffed Location	1 location, FBI specific OR > 1 locations, not FBI specific	20		20	
	> 1 locations, FBI specific	30			(3
		Element 3 Score	L.	60	
Element 4	UNCLASS/Secret	10			
	TS	20			2
Cleared Work	TS/SCI with CI Poly	30	30	30	
	,	Element 4 Score		80	
		E CONTRACTOR MANAGEMENT		Cumulative	
Element 5	0	10		100 mm - 1 mm -	
	= 1	20			
LE/IC Requirement	>= 2	30		30	
		Element 5 Score		30	
		Category Element Score		350	
	2	Count of 10s		1	
		Final Rating		Medium Confidence	

- 3.6. Emerging IT (1 Example Submission)
  - 3.6.1. Example 1
    - 3.6.1.1. Element 1 Vendor A's labor value **exceeded \$1M** and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
    - 3.6.1.2. Element 2 Vendor A received a **Very Good** Technical CPARs rating and self-certifies **20** points.
    - 3.6.1.3. Element 3 Vendor A supported **1 FBI specific location** and self-certifies **20** points.
    - 3.6.1.4. Element 4 Vendor A required up to **TS** work and self-certifies **20** points.
    - 3.6.1.5. Element 5 Vendor A's **one** example was contracted to LE/IC customers and self-certifies **20** points.
  - 3.6.2. **Emerging IT Result** Vendor A will receive a "Medium Confidence" because the Cumulative Element Score is 110 and the vendor received no counts of "10."

	Phase 3: Corpo	orate Experience Elemen	its	
		2 (44/27/37	Example	
		Possible Points	1	
Element 1	\$50k <\$500k	10		
Contract Value	\$500k - < \$1M	20		
Contract value	\$1M+	30	30	
		Element 1 Score	30	
Element 2	Marginal - Unsatisfactory	10		
Technical CPARS	Satisfactory - Very Good	20	20	
Technical CPARS	Exceptional	30		
		Element 2 Score	20	
Element 3	1 Location, no FBI specific	10		
Staffed Location	1 location, FBI specific OR > 1 locations, not FBI specific	ons, not FBI specific 20	20	
	> 1 locations, FBI specific	30	20 20 20 20	
		Element 3 Score	20	
Element 4	UNCLASS/Secret	10		
SHESSON STATES OF	TS	20	20	
Cleared Work	TS/SCI with CI Poly	30		
		Element 4 Score	20	
			Cumulative	
Element 5	0	10		
LE/IC Requirement	=1	20	20	
		Element 5 Score	20	
	Category Eleme	ent Score	110	
	Count of	10s	0	
	Final Rating		Medium Confidence	

#### 3.7. Other IT

- 3.7.1. Example 1
  - 3.7.1.1. Element 1 Vendor A's labor value **exceeded \$10M** and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
  - 3.7.1.2. Element 2 Vendor A received a **Satisfactory** Technical CPARs rating and self-certifies **20** points.

- 3.7.1.3. Element 3 Vendor A supported **2 FBI specific locations** and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
- 3.7.1.4. Element 4 Vendor A required up to **TS/SCI with CI Poly** work and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
- 3.7.2. Example 2
  - 3.7.2.1. Element 1 Vendor A's labor value **exceeded \$10M** and self-certifies **30** points.
  - 3.7.2.2. Element 2 Vendor A received an **Exceptional** Technical CPARs rating and self-certifies **30** points.
  - 3.7.2.3. Element 3 Vendor A supported **3 FBI specific location** and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
  - 3.7.2.4. Element 4 Vendor A required up to **TS/SCI with CI Poly** work and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
- 3.7.3. Example 3
  - 3.7.3.1. Element 1 Vendor A's labor value was \$9M and self-certifies 20 points.
  - 3.7.3.2. Element 2 Vendor A received an **Exceptional** Technical CPARs rating and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
  - 3.7.3.3. Element 3 Vendor A supported **2 FBI specific locations** and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
  - 3.7.3.4. Element 4 Vendor A required up to **TS/SCI with CI Poly** work and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
- 3.7.4. Element 5 (Cumulative)
  - 3.7.4.1. Vendor A's **one** example was contracted to LE/IC customers and self-certifies **20** points.
- 3.7.5. **Other IT Result** Vendor A will receive a "High Confidence" because the Cumulative Element Score exceeds 340 and they received no counts of "10."

		Phase 3: Corporate Experience	Elements		
			Exan	nple	
		Possible Points	1	2	3
Element 1	\$1M - <\$5M	10			
6	\$5M - < \$10M	20			20
Contract Value	\$10M+	30	30	30	
		Element 1 Score	8	80	
Element 2	Marginal - Unsatisfactory	10			
Technical CPARS	Satisfactory - Very Good	20	20		
Technical CPARS	Exceptional	30		30	30
		Element 2 Score	8	80	
Element 3	1 Location, no FBI specific	10			
	1 location, FBI specific OR > 1				
Staffed Location	locations, not FBI specific	specific 20			
	> 1 locations, FBI specific	30	30	30	30
		Element 3 Score	9	0	
Element 4	UNCLASS/Secret	10			
	TS	20			
Cleared Work	TS/SCI with CI Poly	30	30	30	30
		Element 4 Score	9	0	
			Cumu	lative	
Element 5	0	10			
	<= 1	20	2	0	
LE/IC Requirement	>= 2	30			
		Element 5 Score	20	0	
	C	ategory Element Score		360	
		Count of 10s		0	
		Final Rating	High	Confidence	

- 3.8. Phase 3, Factor 4: Self-Certification Result
  - 3.8.1. No vendor manipulation of the Scoring Table is required for the Factor 4 Result. The cover sheet of the Scoring Table document will carry over the confidence rating by task.
  - 3.8.2. **Phase 3, Factor 4 Result**: In the scenario listed above, Vendor A will receive a "Medium Confidence" rating for Factor 4 because they received a "High Confidence" in less than six of the seven categories with no Low Confidence Ratings. Vendor A will receive a "Medium Confidence" notice, advising them to consider continuation to Phase 4.

Phase 3, Factor 4: Corporate Experience Elements

	Category	<b>Element Points</b>	Confidence Rating	
1	Workplace Solutions	390	High Confidence	
2	Business Applications	280	Medium Confidence	
3	Delivery Solutions	330	Medium Confidence	
4	Platform Solutions	300	Medium Confidence	
5	Infrastructure	350	Medium Confidence	
6	Emerging Technologies	110	Medium Confidence	
7	Other IT	360	High Confidence	

0.01	1		
Confid	ence	Sumr	nary

High Confidence	2
Medium Confidence	5
row Confidence	0

Factor 4 Result	Medium Confidence
-----------------	-------------------

- 3.9. Effects of "Low Confidence" in one Sub-Category (Example Delivery Solutions)
  - 3.9.1. Example 1

- 3.9.1.1. Element 1 Vendor A's labor value **exceeded \$10M** and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
- 3.9.1.2. Element 2 Vendor A received an **Exceptional** Technical CPARs rating and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
- 3.9.1.3. Element 3 Vendor A supported **all FBI specific locations** and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
- 3.9.1.4. Element 4 Vendor A required up to **TS/SCI with CI Poly** work and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
- 3.9.2. Example 2
  - 3.9.2.1. Element 1 Vendor A's labor value was \$1M and self-certifies 10 points.
  - 3.9.2.2. Element 2 Vendor A received a **Very Good** Technical CPARs rating and self-certifies **20** points.
  - 3.9.2.3. Element 3 Vendor A supported **1 FBI specific location** and self-certifies **20** points.
  - 3.9.2.4. Element 4 Vendor A required up to **TS/SCI with CI Poly** work and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
- 3.9.3. Example 3
  - 3.9.3.1. Element 1 Vendor A's labor value **exceeded \$10M** and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
  - 3.9.3.2. Element 2 Vendor A received a **Exceptional** Technical CPARs rating and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
  - 3.9.3.3. Element 3 Vendor A supported **3 locations not FBI specific** and self-certifies **20** points.
  - 3.9.3.4. Element 4 Vendor A required up to **TS/SCI with CI Poly** work and self-certifies <u>30</u> points.
- 3.9.4. Element 5 (Cumulative)
  - 3.9.4.1. Vendor A's three examples were **NOT** contracted to LE/IC customers and self-certifies **10** points.
- 3.9.5. **Delivery Solutions/ Services Result** Vendor A will receive a "Low Confidence" because while the Cumulative Element Score is between 260 and 339 and they received **two** counts of "10."

	A 1	Possible Points	Example		
			1	2	3
Element 1	\$5M - <\$20M	10	3	10	
Contract Value	\$20M - < \$50M	20		8	
	\$50M+	30	30	8	3
**		Element 1 Score	50	70	
Element 2	Marginal	10			
Technical CPARS	Satisfactory - Very Good	20	8	20	
Technical Crans	Exceptional	30	30		3
		Element 2 Score	50	80	
Element 3	1 Location, no FBI specific	10	-58		
Staffed Location	1 location, FBI specific OR > 1 locations, not FBI specific	20		20	2
	> 1 locations, FBI specific	30	30		·-
		Element 3 Score	7/2	70	
Element 4	UNCLASS/Secret	10			
	TS	20			
Cleared Work	TS/SCI with CI Poly	30	30	30	3
		Element 4 Score	****	90	20
100			C	umulative	
Element 5	0	10	10		
LE/IC Requirement	= 1	20		2.5000	
	>= 2	30			
		Element 5 Score		10	
ी	19	Category Element Score		320	
45	Count of 10s			2	

3.9.6. **Phase 3, Factor 4 Result:** In the scenario listed above, Vendor A will receive a "Low Confidence" rating for Factor 4 because they received a majority "Medium Confidence" and <u>ONE</u> "Low Confidence" Rating. Vendor A will receive a "Low Confidence" notice, advising them to not continue to Phase 4.

	Category	Element Points	Confidence Rating
1	Workplace Solutions	390	High Confidence
2	Business Applications	280	Medium Confidence
3	Delivery Solutions	320	Low Confidence
4	Platform Solutions	300	Medium Confidence
5	Infrastructure	350	Medium Confidence
6	Emerging Technologies	110	Medium Confidence
7	Other IT	360	High Confidence

Confidence Summa	ary
High Confidence	2
Medium Confidence	4
Low Confidence	1

Low Confidence

Factor 4 Result